Probing the baryonic processes and the reionization from the Milky Way satellites

Qingjuan Yu

Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics / Peking University July 18, 2013

Collaborators: Jun Hou (PKU), Youjun Lu (NAOC)

(Papers in preparation)

Outline

- Introduction
 - Milky Way dwarf satellites
 - Baryonic physical processes
 - Supernova feedback
 - Reionization
 - Molecular hydrogen cooling
- Semi-analytical hierarchical galaxy formation model
- Model results
 - Metallicity distributions
 - Age properties
- Summary



The Milky Way dwarf satellites



The Milky Way satellites

They are among the least luminous galaxies in today's universe. They have shallow gravitational potential wells and are believed to form at early times of the cosmic history.

- Formation of galaxies and first galaxies
 - Powerful probe of the galaxy formation processes in the early universe: supernova (SN) feedback, reionization, and H₂ cooling
- Understanding the problems that the ΛCDM model faces on small galactic scales
 - The missing satellite problem, the core/cusp problem
- Indirect dark matter detection: dark matter particle annihilation?
- Formation of seed black holes?
-

Introduction

- SN feedback
- The energetic SN explosions can expel the cold gas (and heavy elements) in galaxies and possibly form galactic winds.
- The SFR affects the SN explosion rate, while the reduction of cold gas by SN explosions affects the SFR. This forms a feedback loop.
- SN feedback plays an important role in suppressing star formation in low-mass halos and solving the 'missing satellite problem' within the ACDM framework.
- So far, some details on SN feedback processes are still largely unknown.





Introduction

• Reionization of the universe

- The ionizing photons from astrophysical objects ionized the whole universe by $z \sim 6$.
- Reionization also plays an important role in solving the 'missing satellite problem'. It is required to suppress the star formation in small halos (gas heating up with increasing pressure & photoevaporation of small gaseous halos).
- The detailed understanding of reionization needs to be explored. (Starting redshift? Duration? Major ionizing sources?)

(Many talks in this conference)





Cosmic Reionization

Introduction

- H₂ cooling
 - $\begin{array}{lll} & \mbox{H}_2 \mbox{ cooling can be effective below } 10^4 \\ & \mbox{K. It is possibly the only cooling} \\ & \mbox{mechanism for the mini-halo (} T_V < \\ & 10^4 \mbox{ K} \mbox{) with primordial gas.} \end{array}$
 - It determines whether the mini-halos can form stars, and thus potentially affects the chemical enrichment and the reionization of the universe.
 - However, whether H₂ cooling is effective at high redshift universe is under debate, because H₂ is very sensitive to the UV background.



Barkarnan & Loeb 2001

Some recent observational progress

• Metallicity-luminosity relation



- Extremely metal-poor stars
 [Fe/H]<-3.0
- Chemical abundance
- Color-Magnitude diagram: SFH



 Metallicity distribution of individual dwarfs



Understanding in a hierarchical galaxy formation picture

Model

- Merger trees of MW-sized dark matter halos
 - modified EPS formula (Parkinson et al. 2008)
 - Redshift: 0-20;
 - halo mass: 1×10¹², 2×10¹² M_{\odot}
 - Resolution: $10^{6} \, \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$
- Semi-analytical galaxy formation recipes
 - Gas cooling
 - Atomic cooling
 - molecular hydrogen cooling (Benson et al. 2006; Galli & Palla 1998)
 - Star formation and evolution
 - Effects of reionization
 - SN feedback, chemical enrichment
 - SN II
 - SN Ia (0.1Gyr time delay in explorsion; more iron)
 - Galaxy mergers
 -

Based on GALFORM (*Cole et al. 2000; Benson et al. 2003; Bower et al. 2006, ...*), with some improvements in recipes

• Statistical study: 100 merger trees for each set of parameters



- Understanding in the hierarchical galaxy formation model, instead of assuming simple star formation history
- Including chemical enrichment due to SN Ia
- Quantifying the stellar metallicity distribution and exploring the probe of the physical processes
- A statistical study is allowed:
 - a large number of merger trees generated by the Monte-Calo method.

(cf. Kirby et al. 2011; Salvadori & Ferrara 2009; Nagashima et al. 2005; Yates et al. 2013; Font et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2011; Li et al. 2010; Munos et al. 2009; Romano & Starkenburg 2013; ...)

Reionization

• Reducing the baryon fraction of a dark matter halo

$$f_b = rac{1}{[1+(2^{1/3}-1)M_{
m F}/M_{
m halo}]^3}$$
 Ratio to the cosmic average

Kravtsov et al. (2004), Gnedin (2000)

- M_F: filtering mass
 - parameters z_0 and z_r indicating the beginning and completing redshift of reionization, respectively
 - weak reionization model (*z*₀=10, *z*_r=7): the cosmic average reionization;
 - strong reionization model ($z_0=15$, $z_r=10$): enhancement of reionization in local region by the local sources. Font et al. 2011

Filtering mass



Supernova feedback

SN Feedback scale law

$$dM_{\text{reheat}} = \beta \psi dt$$

 $\beta = (v_{\text{disk}}/v_{\text{hot}})^{-\alpha_{\text{hot}}}$
 ψ : Star formation rate
 β : SN feedback efficient
(e.g., Cole et al. 2000)

- Energy condition •
 - To have all the reheated gas to be expelled from the galaxy, the SN explosion energy should be high enough

$$dE_{\rm SN} - 0.5v_{\rm vir}^2 dM_{\rm reheat} \ge 0$$
 $dE_{\rm SN} = \epsilon_{\rm halo} \times 0.5v_{\rm SN}^2 \psi$

- Fate of the reheated gas: staying in the halo, or going out of the halo, or falling back to the disk.

(Guo et al. 2011)

efficiency

Applied only before a galaxy becomes a satellite

SN feedback efficiency



• Simulations

$v_{\rm hot}({\rm km/s})$	$\alpha_{ m hot}$	z_0	$z_{\rm r}$	$M_{\rm host}({ m M}_{\odot})$	H_2 cooling	MW like hosts
200	3.2	15	10	2×10^{12}	on	7
400	3.2	15	10		on	_
100	3.2	15	10		on	_
50	3.2	15	10		on	_
200	4.0	15	10	2×10^{12}	on	9
200	2.0	15	10		on	18
200	3.2	10	7		on	8
200	3.2	15	10		off	9
400	3.2	15	10		on	_
100	3.2	15	10		on	12
50	3.2	15	10		on	13
200	4.0	15	10	1×10^{12}	on	9
200	2.0	15	10		on	10
200	3.2	10	7		on	17
200	3.2	15	10		off	7

 Chemical and stellar age properties are not sensitive to the host halo mass

Fiducial model

Weak reionization model (z_0 =10, z_r =7): the cosmic average reionization

Strong reionization model (z_0 =15, z_r =10): the enhancement of

reionization in local region by local sources.

• Fiducial model vs. observations



Satellite luminosity function



Stellar metallicity versus luminosity correlation



Observational slope: 0.31±0.04 (Kirby et al. 2011)

Metallicity distribution of individual dSphs



- Effects on chemical properties
 - Metallicity v.s. mass/luminosity correlation
 - Metallicity distribution of individual dwarfs





Reionization

A steeper slope in weak reionization

- Strong: α=0.33
- Weak: α=0.45



The strong reionization → evaporate relatively more gas from a halo and less gas in the halo cools to form a galaxy.

The weak reionization: the halo where the galaxy of a given mass forms is likely to be less massive

Only affects low-mass halos strongly.

Metallicity distributions

0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 -4 -3.5 -3 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 -4 -3.5 -3 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 0 1 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 -4 -3.5 -3 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5

Peak Position:

roughly equivalent to the average metallicity.

$$\sigma(Z')/\bar{Z'} \quad \text{(Metal-Poor Tail)}$$
$$Z' = \sqrt{1/10^{[\text{Fe/H}]}} \quad \bar{Z'} = \int Z' \times P(Z') dZ'$$
$$\sigma(Z') = \left[\int (Z' - \bar{Z'})^2 P(Z') dZ' \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\sigma(Z)/\bar{Z}$$
 (Metal-Rich Tail)
 $Z = 10^{[Fe/H]} \bar{Z} = \int Z \times P(Z) dZ$
 $\sigma(Z) = \left[\int (Z - \bar{Z})^2 P(Z) dZ \right]^{1/2}$

Results

Metal-rich tail

Metal-poor tail





Metal-poor tails: different feedback



Metal-poor tails: different reionization



Weak reionization:

- low stellar mass: smaller halo \rightarrow fewer minor mergers & high SFR
- large stellar mass: enhanced difference in metallicities of large galaxies and small accreted galaxies

Metallicity distributions: metal-rich tails

- For most dwarfs, the metal-rich tails are cast after the galaxy becomes a satellite.
- In this stage, the energy condition turns off and typically the feedback is strong.
- This strong feedback can strongly affect the amount of stars on the metal-rich tails, and thus becomes the dominant factor to determine the value of $\sigma(Z)/\overline{Z}$.



- For very small galaxies, it is possible that some of them can turn most of the gas into stars before infall, thus cast their metal-rich tails before infall.
- At that moment, the energy condition is still working and the feedback strength is much weaker than that of the post-infall stage. This would lead to large $\sigma(Z)/\overline{Z}$ value.



Stellar mass weighted age: stellar age distribution



Stellar age – metallicity map



Summary

- We study the chemical and age properties of the stars in the dwarf satellites around MW-like host galaxies, and explore the possible effects of SN feedback, reionization, H₂ cooling and how the current or further observations may put some constraints on these processes.
- We find the slope in the stellar mass-metallicity relation is sensitive to the SN feedback (v_{hot}) and reionization models, and the current observed slope, 0.31 ± 0.04 supports the strong reionization scenario and $v_{hot} > 100$ km/s.
- The metal-poor tail and stellar mass weighted age are also sensitive to the reionization model and can be used to further examine the constraint on it.
- The metal-rich tail can partly break the degeneracy in SN feedback model parameters.
- The moments when the galaxies with total stellar mass between 10^3 and $10^4~M_\odot$ formed 10% of their stars are sensitive to $\rm H_2$ cooling.

Future questions/work

- The local group
- Self-consistency model of the reionization from local sources
- Alpha-elements
- Extremely metal-poor stars
- Individual dwarfs
- Observations
- •