On the origin of slow and fast rotating galaxies

Anna Weigel ETH Zurich

with Kevin Schawinski





assumptions:

- 1. **major mergers** lead to formation of elliptical galaxies with **slow rotator kinematics**
- 2. slow rotators cannot be created through alternative processes

place to test assumptions: green valley



- SDSS DR7 (York+ 2000, Abazajian+ 2009)
- z = 0.02 0.06
- visual classifications Darg+ 2010 sample + Galaxy Zoo 1 (Lintott+ 2008, 2011)
- 3 mass fct. methods (Weigel+ 2016)



Weigel+ 2017 (sub.)



Anna Weigel

Weigel+ 2017 (sub.)





- major merger quenched galaxies only make up small fraction of quenched galaxies
- as early types, on average transition green valley on shorter time scale than all green galaxies (Schawinski+ 2014, Smethurst+ 2015)

quenching



Peng+ 2010, 2012

supporting evidence



supporting evidence



- overall fraction of green slow rotators is low
 e.g. Emsellem+ 2011
- mass dependence: increase in slow rotator fraction towards higher M
 e.g. Emsellem+ 2011, Cappellari+ 2013, Veale+ 2017



- slow rotator fraction in the green valley
- no slow rotators in green valley > created through dry mergers on red sequence?



Schawinski+ 2014



 separate slow and fast rotators in NUV-optical colour-colour diagram



- construct stellar mass functions:
- slow rotators: ~ major merger mass function
- fast rotators: ~ green mass function



quenching = changes in colour, morphology & kinematics?

mass & environment quenching:

compare kinematics:

- different: evidence for separate quenching channels
- same: different triggers lead to same kinematics or should not be treated separately

conclusion

green valley:

- help constrain fast/slow rotator formation &
- connection between kinematics & quenching

IFU surveys:

- able to test some of these predictions already?
- well understood selection function?