Low-frequency pulsar astronomy with the MWA and SKA-low

Ramesh Bhat

International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, Curtin University, Australia ARC Centre of Excellence for All-Sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO)





Curtin University

Low-frequency pulsar astronomy in the SKA era







- Beam forming (phasing up) and processing for pulsar observations:
 - A major consideration for pulsar astronomy with array instruments single-dish dominance over the past 4+ decades
 - Incoherent vs. coherent addition of signals (i.e. tied-array beams) and associated trade-offs
 - From MWA to SKA-low → Going from 100+ elements, ~3km baselines to 500+ elements, distributed over ~80km baselines

Why Low Frequencies?

- Inherently steep spectrum objects, though some do exhibit a turn-over at LFs (can't say that for MSPs yet!)
- Wider beams (pulse profiles) at lower profiles,
 i.e. more action in the magnetosphere
- ISM propagation effects scale strongly with the observing frequency
 - Dispersion delay ~ λ^2
 - Scattering delay ~ λ^4
- Pulsar timing arrays– measuring (and correcting for) temporal DM variations and ISM effects, i.e. monitoring and compensating for "*interstellar weather*" – is critical for achieving the required timing precision



Bhat et al. (2014)



SKA science case – Pulsars

- Gravitational wave astronomy with the SKA (Janssen et al.)
- Testing gravity with pulsars in the SKA era (Shao et al.)
- A cosmic census of radio pulsars with the SKA (Keane et al.)
- Probing the neutron star interior and the equation of state of cold dense matter with the SKA (Watts et al.)
- Multi-wavelength, multi-messenger pulsar science in the SKA era (Antoniadis et al.)
- Understanding the neutron star population with the SKA (Tauris et al.)
- Understanding the pulsar magnetospheres (Karastergiou et al.)
- Pulsars in globular clusters with the SKA (Hessels et al.)
- Pulsar wind nebulae in the SKA era (Gelfand et al.)
- Three-dimensional tomography of the Galactic and extragalactic magnetoionic medium with the SKA (Han et al.)

In this Talk:

MWA for high time resolution science

New developments on the pulsar front

- Coherent (phased-array) beam pipeline
- Picket-fence mode for pulsar observing
- Planned observations (PTA pulsars)
- Connections to SKA-low

Gearing up the MWA for high time resolution: the voltage capture (VCS) mode



- VCS a functionality to capture voltages streaming into the correlator, from ALL 128 tiles, at 100-us, 10kHz resolutions, over 30.72 MHz
- Aggregate data rate = 24 x 242 MBps = 7.8 GBps or 28 TB per hour



Science applications of MWA VCS

Pulsars



Solar Observations



Fast transients (e.g. FRBs)



High time resolution imaging



MWA coherently de-dispersed polarimetric tied array beam on MSP J0437-4715

Steve Ord et al.

60 P.A. (deg.) 0 -60 0437-4715 192.64 MHz -0.5 Flux 0 100 200 300 0 Phase (deg.) Linear polarisation **Circular** polarisation

• Effectively ~ 12 µs time resolution, however there is "temporal" leakage (because of PFB)

Signal improvement on phasing up

Over a single coarse channel – effectively over a band of ~ 0.88 MHz



Incoherent detection (before phasing up)



Coherent beam (i.e. after phasing up)

- Signal-to-noise improvement by approximately a factor of 10
- DM sweep ~ the pulse period (~5 ms) over a single coarse channel (~ 1 MHz)
- ~ 100 ms over the full 30 MHz MWA band @ 200 MHz, compared to ~ 20 ms between the 10 and 50 cm bands of PPTA data

MWA beamformer pipeline

- MWA voltage capture system for data recording: baseband time series (at 10 kHz, 100 us resolutions) that stream out of the 2nd stage of PFB
- Offline version of the MWA correlator: running on Galaxy@Pawsey to generate visibility sets for calibration
- Generation of Jones matrix using a sky model with the MWA RTS, i.e. the real-time system (calibration using Pic A for 0437 observations)
- Beam forming toward the target of interest (pulsar) apply the beam model to get the antenna Jones matrices
- Pulsar processing software DSPSR for baseband processing, and coherent de-dispersion

Leverages a range of software developed by many people over the past decade!

Scintillating MSP J0437-4715 @ MWA 200 MHz



• Spectral resolution = **640 kHz**

 $\overline{}$

• MWA measurements \rightarrow transition frequency near ~ 1 GHz

Scintillating MSP J0437-4715 @ MWA 200 MHz

• Phased-up MWA (126 tiles)

Spectral resolution = 10 kHz



B0950+08 in the VCS "picket-fence" mode





Observations in the VCS picket-fence mode: simultaneously at FOUR frequency bands

From the VCS commissioning observations; Incoherent addition of signals from all tiles

On DM correction for PTAs



Lam, Cordes, et al. (2015), arXiv:1411.1764v2

- Measurements need to be made contemparaneously (within about ~1 day) for minimal error
- Improved timing precision (by a factor of two) from multiple
 (2-3) observations

- These considerations would naturally make the MWA (and SKA-low) the logical choice to support PTA experiments
- Need to demonstrate this using MWA + Parkes observations in the next ~ 2 years (i.e. before SKA-low)

On DM correction for PTAs

Cordes, Shannon, et al. (2015), arXiv:1503.0849



- The ISM sampled is frequency dependent ($\theta_{scatt} \sim v^{-2.2}$)
- TOA errors (from chromatic DMs)
 ~ a few 100 ns (DMs < 30 pc cm⁻³)
 to ~ a few us (at larger DMs)
- Mitigatable using observations across an octave in frequency

The PPTA makes use of observations at 10cm and 50cm (700 MHz & 3100 MHz)

- Take advantage of the flexibility in the MWA system (e.g. VCS, picket fence)
- Independent DM determinations e.g. with MWA, with the ultra-wide band Rx

On DM correction for PTAs



• Spectral evolution of the pulse profile is very important, particularly for measurements involving low-frequency observations (e.g. J0437-4715)

Scattering delays – how important is this?

Hemberger & Stinebring (2008) – Arecibo observations of B1737+13 at 1380 MHz



- PSR B1737+13 a pulsar with DM = 48.6 pc cm⁻³, location *l* = 37°, *b* = 22°
- Scattering delay varied from 0.2 µs to 2.2 µs in observations over ~270 days
- Another source of significant "red noise" in timing data

Scattering delay ~ $V^{-(3.6\pm0.2)}$

• MWA and SKA low bands are well suited for the chacterisation of scattering effects

Cyclic spectroscopy for ISM characterisation

- Newly developed techniques (Demorest 2011; Walker et al. 2013)
- Archibald et al. (2014) demonstration with LOFAR observations



- For pulsars that require high spectral resolution (< 10 kHz) for scintillation analysis
- Combination of pulse phase resolution and high spectral resolution
- Scintillation analysis (in frequency) while also resolving scattering tail
- Powerful analysis technique if not limited by instrumental resolution

Taking advantage of the large Field of View Observing PPTA pulsars with the MWA



- Exploit the MWA's Large Field-of-View e.g. Observations of multiple pulsars in a single pointing
- Modest observing time (~10 hr per month) to support a high profile science project in pulsar astronomy
- Commensal Observing? e.g PSRs J0437-4715 and J1022+1001 are within the beams of EoR fields

MSP Observations @ MWA

• In the next few months (2015A):

• Observations of MSPs from the PPTA project – all 20+ pulsars in the MWA frequency bands, regular observations of PSR J0437-4715

• In the near future (starting 2015B):

• Commence regular observations of PTA pulsars, at a cadence similar to that of PPTA observations, contemporaneously as much as possible (i.e. within practical constraints)

Summary

- MWA is now (almost) ready for pulsar science! Commissioning of full polarimetric tied-array beam + its integration to baseband processing software. VCS mode is open for science proposals since 2015A
- MWA the only low-frequency capable telescope for the full sample of PPTA pulsars; similarly SKA-low is the best instrument to support PTA experiments with SKA-Mid
- Recent work advocates contemporaneous, multiple measurements, across an octave in frequency for best possible DM corrections – the MWA and the new ultra-wideband receiver bring new avenues
- Not just DM corrections, but also other ISM effects (e.g. scintillation and scattering) – best studied at LFs (e.g. MWA and LOFAR work)
- Processing challenges e.g. beam forming requirements, going from 100+ elements over ~3 km (MWA) to 500+ elements over ~60-80 km (SKA-low) – MWA makes an excellent test bed to move in this path